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Abstract

We examine testimonies pertaining to the integration of a gender perspective beyond the dichotomy man-woman into practices of affective-sexual adult learning and education (ALE). We are interested in inclusive practices able to expand voices from specific vulnerable groups against discriminations and multiple oppressions among the aged when belonging to LGBTI Communities. The narrative literature review method was chosen, and international scientific search engines and databases were consulted to find literature in Portuguese, Spanish and English. A total of 25 educational interventions were selected for analysis. To discuss the data, we resorted to Barragán Medero’s (1996) theoretical models and postfeminist contributions. The results show a small number of internationally documented experiences on affective-sexual education with the elderly and adults, a prevalence of the integrative model, as well as a scant presence of the LGBTI community. We concluded that there was a need to continue with the implementation of inclusive and egalitarian affective-sexual adult experiences in ALE.
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Introduction

Trying to explore what is missing in a gender sensitive research agenda is an interesting challenge (Ostrouch-Kamińska & Vieira, 2016). Representing a positive road through inclusion, feminist thinking and “gender lenses”, however, have brought little attention to invisible minorities under the gender issue, as those connected with the LGBTI Communities (McAllister, 2018).

Looking for testimonies of the integration of a gender perspective beyond the dichotomy man-woman into practices of affective-sexual ALE, including the elderly, was our goal. This work is part of the initial phase of a research project on that subject. We are interested in highlighting inclusive practices able to expand voices from specific vulnerable groups against discriminations. As stated in the EU Gender Equality Strategy 2020-2025, the meaning given to gender equality is variable. However, more “sensitive research” is necessary if the purpose is to work together to build a world where women, girls, men, boys, and also intersex, trans identities and non-heterosexuality, in all their diversity, are equal – ‘where they are free to pursue their chosen path in life and reach their full potential, where they have equal opportunities to thrive, and where they can equally participate’ (p. 19).

Talking about affective-sexual ALE is about revealing structural inequalities, cultural norms and values which have imposed discursive binaries of “normality and abnormality” fostering an incessant interplay of unequal power relationships between human beings. Therefore, this is a specific area where we can discuss explicit and implicit forms of inequality and discrimination across the lifespan of adults and elderly persons (Mortimer & Shanahan, 2003). In this context, conquering academic space for research is crucial, as well as highlighting effective and affective ALE able to contribute to deconstructing multiple oppressions among the ageing when belonging to LGBTI Communities (Sokan & Teaster, 2016).

This article tries to offer an original panorama of those issues by means of the analysis of the existing literature reporting (Fejes & Nylander, 2019; Belando-Montoro, Barros & Lampreia-Carvalho, 2020) on internships and practices intended to carry out affective-sexual education with adults and elders. For that purpose, the narrative literature review method (Grant & Booth, 2009) was chosen, and international scientific search engines and databases were consulted to find literature in Portuguese, Spanish and English.

Theoretical framework

Adult learning and education (ALE) as a field committed with socioeducational justice

When thinking of the role of ALE, if we consider the point of departure to be Article 26.2 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, that states ‘education shall be directed to the full development of the human personality and to the strengthening of respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms. It shall promote understanding, tolerance, and friendship among all nations, racial or religious groups’, then a vision of educational justice as something more than compulsory and free elementary education emerges. Here we agree with Tomáševski (2001) when she stated:

The importance of the right to education reaches far beyond education itself. Many individual rights are beyond the grasp of those who have been deprived of education (…) education operates as a multiplier, enhancing the enjoyment of all individual rights and
freedoms where the right to education is effectively guaranteed, while depriving people of the enjoyment of many rights and freedoms where the right to education is denied or violated (p. 10)

As Freire (2006) has showed, it is possible to turn ALE into a condition for freedom by encouraging critical thought about unequal power structures. Also, Milana states ALE “can raise awareness of civic issues, while developing both a range of skills and knowledge and an ability to think critically” (2007, p. 8). However, this field of educational praxis presents a trajectory where “justice lenses” have been understood differently through time. Indeed, in the history of the evolution of the political meaning given to the concept of ALE, since the twentieth century the concept has remained mainly trapped in three programmatic perspectives: one relating to vocational qualification and training, another related to the campaigns of so-called functional literacy and a third inscribed in the permanent education movement (Jarvis, 1987; Titmus, 1989).

In the contemporary understanding conferred to the concept of adult learning and education, the six international conferences1 promoted by UNESCO represent important beacons, particularly by counterbalancing a restricted vision of lifelong learning (subdued to the immediate interests of the neoliberal economy) with a more enlarged vision of lifelong education, embedded with critical and humanist principles and values (Mayo & Thompson, 1997; Barros, 2012). It is only when they are inscribed in the “great tradition” of the last paradigmatic understanding that policy and practices for an emancipatory ALE, able to contribute to the deconstruction of multiple oppressions, are possible.

Within this theoretical framework, holistic andragogical reflection has been developed by several researchers (Gitterman, 2004; Kapur, 2015; Knowles, 1980) and a range of techniques and active teaching-learning methods are made available to adult educators, seeking to ensure processes where the learning of adults and elders takes place in an appropriate and meaningful manner (Konopka, Adaime & Mosele, 2015; Wie, 2003). A critical andragogical approach, independently of the content being studied, prioritizes, in all educational contexts (and for both types of education: formal and non formal), the need to work in collaboration and ethical integration with each other (Barth, 1996). Thus, social and communication skills are to be developed side by side with analytical and critical thinking skills to achieve higher order thinking and meta-cognition, and this, to be transformative, involves student-centred approaches as well as collaborative and inquiry-based educational processes (Mezirow, 1991).

Inclusive ALE practices for emancipation are usually inspired by critical theories and radical philosophies (Foley, 2001), and can take several different forms in which dialogue is vital. Those educational interventions and workshops attempt to help the participants to question, destabilize commonsense beliefs (in terms of their own thoughts, ideas, views, strategies, practices, etc.) and challenge the mechanisms of power and domination. The underlying idea is to highlight the complexity of human interactions to acquire an in depth understanding of alterities and what is required to respect the rights of the Other.

In effect, affective-sexual ALE is associated with those mentioned set of characteristics not always adopted in the communities of practices (Wenger, 1998), as we were able to ascertain in this study, concerned with more than the ‘industrialised global North’ and therefore examining different times and geographies (see table 3). However, as McAllister (2018) put it, it remains important to:

1. **explore in more in depth and purposeful ways what may constitute empowering CEG [critical educational gerontology], particularly the pedagogic practices through which older**
LGBTI adults are enabled to counter ever shifting forms of heteronormativity as well as strengthen their participative voice to contribute to evolving social and legal reform (p. 58).

This has been the *leitmotif* underlying the need for “sensitive research” on the existing literature reporting on internships and practices, in the field of ALE. intended to improve socioeducational justice through affective-sexual education with adults (18-60 years old) and elders (over 60 years old).

**Conceptual approach to affective-sexual ALE and its models**

As pointed out by Jones (2011), sexuality education is a broad concept and the ways it is conceived and understood, as well as the idiosyncratic characteristics of the experiences undertaken are the subject of multiple debates. As such, it can encompass the most varied experiences, such as workshops on reproduction, health initiatives against Sexually Transmitted Diseases (STD), talks with an underlying moral purpose based on a religious-traditional view, informal conversations, pornography and other online spaces, the media, etc. Based on this broad view of the ways of understanding how one learns about the diverse range of contents and perspectives that the field of sexuality comprises, in this paper we use the term affective-sexual education to refer to the experiences and approaches included within formal and non-formal education.

In this regard, Soler (2003) described affective-sexual education as having two parts that were differentiated by the sexual dimension and the affective dimension which, when viewed together, allowed for a better understanding of this educational field. On the one hand, sexuality education is defined as a science which is meant to provide information in a transversal manner about human sexuality with a view to it becoming a free, healthy and responsible activity. On the other hand, it was maintained that affective education fosters the addressing of self esteem needs, forms of internal control, social skills, and shared responsibility.

Over two and a half decades ago, Bredy and Barragán (1993) defined the objectives of this educational field as follows:

> The aim of sexuality education is the construction of an explanatory model of human sexuality which is critical, open and in a continuous process of transformation. Going beyond the restrictive framework of exclusively biological or preventive aspects, building sexual knowledge is essential for our personal, affective and social development if one considers the various aspects it entails: getting to know ourselves and others, as well as getting to know the relationships between them within a cultural and historical context such as ours. (p. 5)

More recently the World Health Organization (WHO Regional Office for Europe, 2010), in keeping with the view of a comprehensive and values-based development, defined sexuality as:

> learning about the cognitive, emotional, social, interactive and physical aspects of sexuality [...]. It equips and empowers children and young people with information, skills and positive values to understand and enjoy their sexuality, have safe and fulfilling relationships and take responsibility for their own and other people’s sexual health and well-being. […]. In this definition, the primary focus is on sexuality as a positive human potential and a source of satisfaction and pleasure. (p. 26).

According to the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO, 2011, p. 9), this field of knowledge should consider the ‘state of complete
physical, mental and social well-being and not merely the absence of disease or infirmity, in all matters relating to the reproductive system and to its functions and processes’.

This notwithstanding, different affective-sexual education models have been put forward, depending on the intended purposes and the perspective adopted (Barragán, 1996; Blair & Monk, 2009; Britzman, 1995; Carlson, 1992; Elia, 2005; Font, 1990; Gaudreau, 1985; Haffner, 1992; Irvine, 2002; Jones, 2011; Kornblit & Sustas, 2014; Lameiras Fernández & Carrera Fernández, 2009; Lennerhed, 2009; López, 2005; 2019; López & Oroz, 1999; McLaren, 1992; Swain, Warne, & Hillel, 2004; Wainerman, Di Virgilio & Chami, 2008). This paper will be based on the models proposed by Barragán (1996), albeit enriched with the contributions of other of the aforementioned experts. As such, we will resort to three main models: the moral, the preventive and the integrative.

The moral model, also known as repressive or traditional, is based on a moralistic Judeo-Christian approach, in which sexuality without reproductive purposes is regarded as sinful and pathological. In this sense, the sexuality model is confined to a marital context, which is heterosexual, monogamous and reproductive in nature. Its goal is to pass on to young people normative values, such as heterosexuality as the sole and correct form of affective-sexual desire, to prohibit masturbation, to condemn the use of contraceptive methods or to defend abstinence and marriage to fend off the dangers of Sexually Transmitted Diseases (STD) and unwanted pregnancies (Barragán, 1991).

In the same vein, infantile and adolescent sexuality is rejected due to the impossibility or inappropriateness of reproduction, with the aim being to preclude sexuality before adulthood and distancing it from anything that may be conceived as a pleasurable activity. This model is, therefore, characterized by the transmission of values that are conservative in nature and meant to preserve the dominant hegemonic social system. According to Lameiras and Carrera (2009), given that this model has a prohibitive view that uses fear and disgust as control tools, this type of sexuality education does not seek to educate on sexuality but to silence and repress it.

The preventive model, also known as the health model or the medicalization of sexuality education, if one is to use Foucault’s (1980) terms, is based on a pathological and healthcare view of sexuality education. It is geared towards the prevention of risks that exist in sexual relations, with healthy sexuality being understood as that which is disease-free or, in what basically amounts to the same thing, associated with the physical dimension (not psychological nor social).

Although this view may be of significance since it provides one with a range of knowledge that constitutes a basis for preventing pathological risks and unwanted pregnancies, its approach to sexuality education is biased in that it favours the emergence of behaviours and attitudes that replicate and reinforce values opposing equality due to its biologistic nature, which means that corporalities, gender identities and non-hegemonic affective-sexual orientations are left out of the curriculum.

Finally, the integrative or biographical and professional model (López, 2005) starts from an integral and broad view of sexuality, which is a vital aspect that comprises various dimensions. Sexuality education is, therefore, no longer limited to issues of reproduction or health but allows for other purposes and dimensions (pleasure, communication, socialisation, knowledge, etc.); for different forms of being and desiring (the LGBTI community); for health dimensions (physical, psychological and social); for the spectrum of individuality (self-knowledge; self-pleasure and self-care); and for social vision (critical values education).
Affective-sexual ALE: inclusion beyond gender

The LGBTI community consists of three main sectors: intersexualities; trans identities and non-heterosexualities.

We use the term *intersexualities* to refer to individuals who, due to their physiological characteristics, are not considered to fit within the binary sex categories: male or female. Therefore, intersex individuals break with the linearity of the requirements of a categorization model based on biological criteria (García Dauder & Gregori, 2018). This linearity is established, in the case of males, by individuals who have testicles and a penis as main genitalia, XY chromosomes and a hormonal prevalence of androgen. In the case of females, the main genitalia are the ovaries and the uterus, XX chromosomes and a hormonal prevalence of estrogen.

*Trans identities*, in contrast to cis identities, refer to individuals whose gender identity does not match that which was assigned to them at birth based on physical criteria. In the wake of the hegemonic break between sex and gender, the prevailing sociocultural values give rise to the concept of *ciscentrism*, which can be defined as the generalized conception by which it is perceived that all people identify with the gender category determined at the time of birth for biological reasons (Granero Andújar, 2020).

Finally, the term *non-heterosexualities* alludes to affective-sexual identities whose affective-sexual desires are expressed among individuals of the same gender. The use of this term, instead of homosexuality, is not accidental but intentional since its meant to broaden the sole and generalized conception of homosexuality as the expression of the affective-sexual desire between individuals of the same gender and, consequently, avoid the exclusion of affective-sexual identities that can develop intragender relationships, as is the case of bisexuality (affective and/or sexual attraction to individuals of both binary genders) or pansexuality (attraction to individuals regardless of their gender and beyond binary models). Following the same grammatical intentionality as the concept of ciscentrism, *heterocentrism* makes reference to the socioculturally established mechanisms that exclude, overlook, or render invisible non-heterosexual individuals, assuming that all relationships consist of a man and a woman and that all people have desires and affective–sexual feelings towards the opposite gender.

In this context, the LGBTI community and equality between women and men are issues that a comprehensive, egalitarian and democratic affective-sexual education needs to address. These are issues that turn out to be complementary as a result of the symbiotic relationship established by the sociocultural mechanisms of exclusion and discrimination endured by women and by the LGBTI community. Similarly, the different dimensions or cogs in the normative system of sexuality (sex, gender, gender roles and affective-sexual orientation) operate in a concerted manner on our bodies and subjectivities to legitimize, uphold and reinforce the traditional hegemonic values pertaining to sexuality (Granero Andújar, 2020). For this reason, since the postfeminist movements, gender equality has been understood to go beyond equality between women and men and has included sectors of the population that have suffered different types of gender-based violence, as is the case of non–heterosexual, trans and intersex individuals (Butler, 2006).

Furthermore, the educational field constitutes a space within which greater attention can be given to the minorities that have been traditionally excluded and discriminated, as is the case of the LGBTI community and the gender inequalities, for three main reasons (Britzman, 1995; Epstein & Johnson, 2000): 1) the thematic proximity of the content; 2) the fact that it is the educational space where discriminatory behaviours and values are most often exposed; 3) the involuntary nature of transmitted values, whether inclusive or discriminatory.
Methodology

To achieve our goal, we used the narrative literature review method (Grant & Booth, 2009), and a qualitative research perspective (Merriam, 2009; Stake, 2010). The search was carried out between May and July 2020, consulting the following databases and scientific search engines: Google Académico, Dialnet, Web of Science, Research Gate, Teseo, Education Source EBSCO, CSIC, REDIB, OAIster, Redalyc, RCAAP, PudMed y Scopus.

The descriptors consulted in these databases were those relating to our object of study, i.e. affective-sexual adult learning and education (see Table 1).

Table 1. Descriptors used in the literature review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Education sector descriptors</th>
<th>Education field descriptors</th>
<th>Education intervention descriptors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Personas mayores</td>
<td>Educación sexual</td>
<td>Education intervention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personas adultas</td>
<td>Educación afectivo-sexual</td>
<td>Workshop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idosos</td>
<td>Educação sexual</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pessoas adultas / adultos</td>
<td>Educação afetivo-sexual</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>Sexual affective education</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aged</td>
<td>Sexual affective educational gerontology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old</td>
<td>Sex Education</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Older</td>
<td>Sexual intercourse</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>Sexual education</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ancient</td>
<td>Sexual learning</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elderly population</td>
<td>Environments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sex talk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sexuality education</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Education for sexuality</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sexual health education</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The terms of the three columns in the table have been combined to try to achieve the maximum possible range of publications. Boolean operators were also used in the search for the same purpose. ("and", "e", "y", "o" and "or").

Freely available academic research papers written in English, Spanish and Portuguese were consulted. The criteria for selecting the analysed works were the following: 1) the articles had to report on practical experiences involving affective-sexual education with adults and elderly persons in an educational context; 2) they had to be written in English, Spanish or Portuguese. There was no specific timeframe for the search as the aim was to find as many experiences as possible that met the criteria.

Building on the interpretive approach of our research, we used coding as an analysis technique, which enabled us to conduct a thematic or sequential content analysis in various phases (see Table 2). To that end, we identified common key concepts that generated our research categories and later created clusters which would enable us to
examine the group meanings, the discrepancies and the interrelationships present in the
data that could provide us with insights into, as well knowledge and a holistic
understanding of, our object of study. (Simons, 2011). Some of the categories used were
a priori categories (Cisterna Cabrera, 2005), that is to say, they were determined prior to
the data collection and based on readings on the topic that sought to ascertain whether the
issues and processes addressed therein were present in the experiences analysed. Others
emerged from questions asked during the data collection or from the data analysis itself.
These were called emergent categories (Cisterna Cabrera, 2005).

It should be stressed that investigator triangulation was used for evidence of
coherence between the results and the information provided by the participants (Sandin, 2010).

Table 2. Categories used for analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thematic axes (TA)</th>
<th>Categories (C)</th>
<th>Subcategories (S)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TA1-Sexual education model.</td>
<td>C1.1-Integral model.</td>
<td>S1.1.1-Variety of purposes and dimensions, S1.1.2-Diversity of forms of being and desiring, S1.1.3-Diferents health dimensions, S1.1.4-Spectrum of individuality, S1.1.5-Social vision.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C1.2-Preventive model.</td>
<td>S1.2.1-Pathological risks, S1.2.2-Unwanted pregnancies, S1.2.3-Biologic nature perspective.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C1.3-Moral model.</td>
<td>S1.3.1-Marital relationships, S1.3.2-Heteronormativity, S1.3.3-Biologicism, S1.3.4-Monogamy, S1.3.5- Sexuality limited to reproduction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA2-Equality men and women.</td>
<td>C2.1-Traditional gender roles.</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C2.2-New masculinities and femininities.</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA3-Presence and treatment of LGBTI collective.</td>
<td>C3.1-Non-heterosexualities</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C3.2-Trans identities</td>
<td>S3.2.1-Ciscentrism, S3.2.2-Binarism.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C3.3-Intersexualities</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results / Findings

Based on the defined criteria, 25 scientific and academic documents that reported on
experiences pertaining to our object of study in different countries were selected (Table 3). In an initial selection, 91 studies were identified, of which 66 were discarded after a
closer review and more thorough analysis of their content.
Table 3. Results obtained from the different experiences (in chronological order)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Number (N) and age of participants</th>
<th>Type of education</th>
<th>Target group</th>
<th>Brief Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O’Neil &amp; Carroll (1988)</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>N=94 Age: 30-39 years</td>
<td>Non formal</td>
<td>Healthcare staff</td>
<td>Workshop on gender roles and actions to assist health professionals in their socialization and gender conflicts with the purpose of overcoming all possible gender-stigmatized barriers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galdissi &amp; Verma (1990)</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>N=25 Age: 45-60 years</td>
<td>Non formal</td>
<td>Complex</td>
<td>Intervention on physiological and psychological changes in sexual response during the ageing process and erectile dysfunction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adams et al. (1990)</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>N=70 Age: 50-60 years</td>
<td>Non formal</td>
<td>Elderly people</td>
<td>Educational intervention on the different aspects (physiology and intimacy) and sexuality in later life and chronological and physical aspects of sexuality connected with sexuality in elderly people.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pastore et al. (1992)</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>N=40 Average: 35 years</td>
<td>Non formal</td>
<td>Adult Students</td>
<td>An eight-hour effective sexual education course that addressed different dimensions of sexuality was chosen up and implemented (psychosexual development and gender roles).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruskman (1992)</td>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>N=120 Age: 30-50 years</td>
<td>Formal</td>
<td>Third age Students</td>
<td>Sexual education program with the third age student body of the Guna Fata University (UGF), which addressed sexual practices pertaining to gender, the understanding of one’s own body and all issues sexual orientations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee (1992)</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>N=185 Age: Not specified</td>
<td>Formal</td>
<td>Healthcare staff</td>
<td>Experience developed to improve sexual health (healthy sexual behavior and sexual relationships) between elderly couples who have had some kind of experience.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mayers &amp; McPhail (1990)</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>N=27 Age: Not specified</td>
<td>Non formal</td>
<td>Healthcare staff</td>
<td>Programme aimed at changing the attitudes and prejudices of healthcare staff about the sexuality of the elderly, focusing on attitude toward sexuality, terminology and communication and their sexual rights.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klein et al. (2000)</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>N=170 Age: 30-50 years</td>
<td>Non formal</td>
<td>Parents</td>
<td>Intervention programme seeking to improve communication on sexuality between parents and children and to provide tools to further knowledge of sexuality, which focused on communication barriers, how to express conceptions and feelings, anatomy and understanding the human body.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicolos &amp; Verma (2005)</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>N=170 Age: Not specified</td>
<td>Non formal</td>
<td>Mothers</td>
<td>Programme focused on providing mothers with the necessary tools and knowledge to become engaged in the sexuality education of their children. It focused on children’s sex education needs at all developmental stages, selected sexuality information, and communication skills.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodriguez (2000)</td>
<td>Cuba</td>
<td>N=32 Average: 24 years</td>
<td>Formal</td>
<td>Young Adults</td>
<td>Affective-sexual education project carried out within the “Cuba-Guatemala Program” Comprehensive Intervention Course for Young People. Issues covered included sexual reproduction, the risks and consequences of pregnancies, abortion and STIs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klein et al. (2000)</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>N=78 Age: Not specified</td>
<td>Non formal</td>
<td>Gende víctimas</td>
<td>Experience intended to get the participants to reflect on the relationship between their sexuality and their religious beliefs. Among the issues covered, we highlight the following: attitudes and beliefs about sexual decisions, relationships, and feelings about one’s sexuality.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casillas (2002)</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>N=25 Age: 40-50 years</td>
<td>Formal</td>
<td>Elderly people</td>
<td>An experience with a group of elderly people from the Clinica Brasilia de Clínicas Médicas de Campo Grande. STIs prevention and healthy sexual practices were topics covered.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baldissi et al. (2013)</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>N=20 Age: 50-60 years</td>
<td>Formal</td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>The different ways women over the age of 50 experimented with their sexuality so as to improve it were examined through the lens of the emergent educational approach as proposed by the. It focused on gender relations, family and social issues aiming to improve reflections about the experiences lived by the women.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bauer et al. (2007)</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>N=102 Age: 18-51 years</td>
<td>Non formal</td>
<td>Healthcare staff</td>
<td>Educational intervention to train nursing staff. What is proposed is an improvement in the knowledge of and attitudes towards the sexuality of the elderly in the context of old age homes. It focused on barriers to the expression of sexuality in the elderly community and the role of the health professional.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golwenko et al. (2014)</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>N=10 Age: Not specified</td>
<td>Non formal</td>
<td>Paramedics and legal professionals</td>
<td>Educational experiences aimed at improving communication on sexuality by promoting legal guidelines and their children to improve sexual health (STIs prevention and communication).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coster &amp; Rezkin (2015)</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>N=21 Age: 35 years</td>
<td>Non formal</td>
<td>Elderly people</td>
<td>Intervention with the elderly to inform them on STIs and HIV due to their high prevalence among this sector of the population.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ballester-Arnal et al. (2016)</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>N=120 Age: 55-70 years</td>
<td>Formal</td>
<td>Adult Students</td>
<td>Experience developed over 17 years as the Sexuality Education model of the “Education for Health” subject at the Universitat per a Mogens (Universitat Jaume I de Castelló). Issues covered included: false beliefs and prejudices that hindered their engagement of one’s sexual autonomy and non critical mental sexuality.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jones &amp; Moyle (2006)</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>N=10 Average: 40 years</td>
<td>Non formal</td>
<td>Healthcare staff</td>
<td>Training educational intervention to improve knowledge and attitudes of healthcare professionals of healthy sexual behavior and sexual relationships.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After a content analysis of the various educational interventions, it was ascertained that the majority (n = 17), used active teaching-learning methods and belonged to the integrative model (Adams et al., 1990; Baldissi et al., 2013; Ballester-Arnal et al., 2016; Bauer et al., 2013; DiGioia, 2011; Feuz et al., 2019; Hernández Carrasco et al., 2019; Klein et al., 2005; Lee et al., 2020; Martin et al., 2019; Mayers & McBride, 1998; Nicolos et al., 2007; O’Neil et al., 1992; Pastor et al., 1992; Risman, 2011; Vitória, 2019). A slightly lower number (n = 8) was consistent with the preventive model (Cardoso Junior, 2012; Colorossi et al., 2014; Gedin & Resnick, 2014; Goldberger, 2018; Goldman & Carroll, 1990; Jones & Moyle, 2016; Livni, 1994; Rodriguez Maresma, 2009) while none had the features of the moral model (n = 0).

The issue of equality between men and women was only addressed in three interventions (Ballester-Arnal et al., 2016; O’Neil & Carroll, 1988; Pastor et al., 1992). The experiences reported in O’Neil & Carroll (1988) and Pastor et al. (1992) focused on analysing the influence and sociocultural restrictions of the traditional gender roles, as well as the new forms of masculinities and femininities as opposed to the ways of being and feeling based on being either a man or a woman. In the case of Ballester-Arnal et al. (2016), equality between men and women takes on an essentially biologicist perspective by considering the importance of the clitoris in female pleasure and the marginal importance of the size of the penis in men.

Regarding issues pertaining to LGBTI identities and corporealities, the analysis reveals vast differences in the experiences. Based on a more quantitative analysis, it was found that six of the experiences (Ballester-Arnal et al., 2016; Bauer et al., 2013; Goldberger, 2018; Opazo Pérez, 2018; Pastor et al., 1992; Risman, 2011) addressed non-heterosexualities. From a qualitative perspective, it was observed that the possibilities of affective-sexual orientation were reduced to the most visible ones (heterosexuality, homosexuality, and bisexuality). As far as the trans identities are concerned, they were...
only mentioned in two of the experiences (Lee et al., 2020; Martin et al., 2018). Finally, none of the studies conducted made any reference to intersexualities.

Going beyond the analysis of the presence of the LGBTI community, what was found was that some of the experiences replicated discriminatory aspects, even when using active teaching-learning methods. In this sense, the experiences (formal and non formal) laid out in Ballester-Arnal et al. (2016), Goldberg (2018) and Risman (2011) were based on a ciscentric perspective which linked sex characteristics to gender. To be a “woman” one had to have a vulva while the gender category of “man” was linked to the penis. Furthermore, in none of these experiences were trans identities addressed.

Discriminatory aspects regarding the diversity of gender were found in the content of the affective–sexual education (non-formal) implemented in Adams et al. (1990). It was based on a binary gender perspective in that it only made reference to the categories of “man “and “woman”, thereby excluding non-binary gender individuals.

Concurrently, some of the studies analysed were not clear as: i) to whether it was concerned with student-centred approaches as well as collaborative and inquiry-based educational processes (to achieve critical thinking skills); ii) to whether it was equality between women and men that was addressed or the LGBTI community (Baldissera et al., 2012; Jones & Moyle, 2016; Lee et al., 2020; Vitória de Almeida, 2019). For that reason, it was not possible to ascertain whether they were based on an ability to think critically and achieve an equality perspective between men and women and the LGBTI community.

**Conclusion**

The findings show the limited number of documented international experiences on affective-sexual education with adults and the elderly. Such scarcity underlines the need for a greater attention to be given by the scientific and academic community so that this education field involving this sector of the population can be further studied and rendered visible. Concurrently, it may be a reflection of the limited presence of sexuality in adult and elderly education.

When it comes to how gender is addressed, the results bring to light the limited number of experiences that deal with equality between women and men. One can thus state that gender equality is not a recurrent theme when addressing sexuality in ALE. Meanwhile, the number of experiences addressing non-heterosexualities exceeded those focusing on the equality between women and men. This notwithstanding, and based on a holistic analysis, the number of experiences addressing non-heterosexualities was still very limited bearing in mind the total number of experiences studied. At the same time, and regardless of the results on non-heterosexualities, trans identities were almost completely absent from these experiences. Finally, and in line with Granero Andújar and García Gómez (2020), intersexualities remain completely forgotten in educational realities as they were not addressed in any of the experiences studied. In this vein, Sherlock (2015) points out how LGTBI identities and corporalities have been silenced and rendered invisible by not being addressed in affective-sexual education as they are regarded as politically incorrect by the prevailing social views.

Drawing on different scientific contributions, one may consider that the LGTBI community is often not addressed due to fear of and resistance from the prevailing normative sociocultural views, as well as to the teaching staff’s lack of knowledge (Franco-Morales, Correa-Molina, Venet & Pérez-Bedoya, 2016). The latter arises from the absence of non-hegemonic sexualities in initial and continuous teacher training (Sánchez Torrejón, 2021; Wynee, 2008). Thus, due to social pressure and internalized
hegemonic views, non-heterosexualities, trans identities and intersexualities have either become taboos or difficult topics to be addressed by teachers who, ultimately, opt to exclude them from the contents of their lessons and focus on socially accepted topics.

In addition to the limited presence of trans identities, some of the experiences replicated ciscentric values that deny the presence and social existence of these identities. Furthermore, this ciscentric perspective entails a biological deterministic concept based on which essentialist currents have historically associated the behaviours, desires and social roles of men and women to biological aspects. Thus, the sociocultural differences, inequalities and discriminations women have endured throughout times are underpinned by supposedly natural criteria based on a biological rationale that justifies the existence of the disparities while preventing them from being questioned from a sociocultural point of view (Camaraco Cuevas & Orm Saab, 2011). Similarly, a binary gender perspective was to be found in some of the experiences studied. This perspective entails the interiorization of a dichotomic view of the categories of “man” and “woman” as antagonic but complementary categories, legitimizing inequalities and gender-based violence, as well as heterocentrism as being socioculturally dominant. In their work, Grotz, Díaz, González & Plaza (2016) show how the implementation of a non-binary affective-sexual education allows for the socioeducational inclusion of more realties and identities. Furthermore, it is significant that most of the experiences that worked on non-heterosexual orientations replicated excluding and discriminatory content, which made trans identities invisible by omission.

This omission from the contents together with the replication of discriminatory aspects give rise to a feeling of exclusion among the members of this community (Hobaica & Kwon, 2017). This in turn means that affective-sexual education practices are of no use to them as they neither address their realities nor meet their needs (Estes, 2016). Another consequence is that their educational needs are not atended to, which forces LGTBI individuals to resort to informal education means that are of questionable accuracy (the internet, pornography…) to meet the learning needs and wants that have not been atended to (Currin et al., 2017).

The social repercussions this entails should also be highlighted. The omission of non-normative forms of sexuality, together with the existence of discriminatory values, replicates and legitimizes the “normalisation” and “naturalisation” of hegemonic sexualities, which then prevail within the status quo (Sánchez Sainz, 2009). Similarly, the replication of the perception of sexuality as confined to normativity contributes to the classification, hierarchisation and disciplining of desires, identities and bodies. Among the students, this generates and legitimises the formation of subjectivities that are naturalised through exclusions, discrimination and oppression against non-hegemonic identities and corporalities, contributing to the social censure they are subject to.

Regarding the model used and the age group, the results show that all the experiences undertaken with elderly persons used the integrative model. Also, the absence of the moral model, as well as the prevalence of the integrative model in relation to the preventive one, may be connected with the age of the target population. Promoting values and behaviours associated with those models, such as sexual abstinence to postponement of the start of sexual intercourse or the prevention of STDs and unwanted pregnancies, among adults and the elderly does not make sense due to the high level of experience and knowledge this sector of the population is expected to have when it comes to sexuality. In contrast, if one is to consider that children or the young population do not yet have affective and/or sexual relations or are beginning to have them, then educating them on the dangers and the traditional moral-related values advocating abstinence or delaying the start of sexual activity is a more widespread practice. It is also necessary to break down
the traditional sociocultural barriers regarding sexuality that have been strongly linked to the older generations (Goldberger, 2018; Hernández Carrasco et al., 2019).

On the other hand, there are correlations between the model used and the presence of the LGBTI community, in that most of the experiences in which non-heterosexualities are addressed involve the integrative model, while that is the case only once in the preventive model. Similarly, it is in the integrative model experiences that the scant presence of trans identities are to be felt. Likewise, all the experiences in which equality between women and men was addressed featured this model.

Today, people who question social mandates have been gaining increasing visibility, therefore the ways in which education is thought and discussed must be attentive to these realities and needs that are emerging and getting social visibility. In this sense, and considering the findings, it is necessary to undertake integral affective-sexual education experiences with the adult and elderly population, paying careful attention to possible discriminations and exclusions which, both explicitly and implicitly, may be replicated in those educational experiences. We should not forget that the field of affective-sexual education is regarded as the educational area with the greatest potential to work towards an education that allows for the principle of equal rights and opportunities in the field of sexuality (Epstein & Johnson, 2000). The perspective adopted should be transversal to all educational contents, processes, actions and discourses (Mezirow, 1991) to ensure it addresses all the dimensions and discriminations of the normative sexuality system to achieve an affective-sexual education that is deeply egalitarian and democratic.

To that end, and in line with what was set out in the previously mentioned conferences, ALE should review the purposes it serves and also how it is implemented so as to embrace a holistic approach which considers affective-sexual education with a critical focus that will allow for an empowering development of sexuality among the adult and elderly population. In these educational contexts it is therefore key that there is a questioning of the hegemonic sociocultural canons and concepts surrounding sexuality, which from a postfeminist point of view are considered as domination mechanisms (Butler, 2006), so as to dismantle the power mechanisms and contribute to the social emancipation of what is known as “sexual minorities”.

**Notes**
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